Appendix E1

FHWA NEPA DCE Approval
Washington State Department of Transportation

I-82/South Union Gap Interchange - Construct Ramps Project

Request for Proposal July 30, 2018



PERMIT FORTHCOMING



ECS Standard Report

| | WSDOT AI | PPROVAL | |
|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | 0.4/4.4/05.15 | Maria I Faire | |
| William Sauriol | 04/11/2018 Date | Myria J. Foisy Region Environmental Contact | - — ————— Phone: |
| Region Environmental Manager | Date | Region Environmental Contact | Phone: |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | PART 1 - PROJEC | T DESCRIPTION (4) | |
| WIN: E08209U I-82/South Union Gap Intercha | ange - Construct | Intent of Documentation: | |
| Ramps | | ☐ Scoping (ERS) | |
| Project Title: I-82/South Union Gap Interchar Ramps | nge - Construct | ☑ NEPA/SEPA Documentation | (ECS) |
| • | | | |
| Pin(s): 508209U | | | |
| Federal Aid Number: NH-0822(128) | | | |
| Project Description: | | | |
| The I-82 South Union Gap interchange is | s currently a nartial in | terchange with only a westhound off-r | ramn and an |
| eastbound on-ramp. This project will cor | | | |
| ramp to provide full access to South Uni | on Gap. | | |
| Purpose: | | | |
| This project will complete the interch provide full access to South Union G | | ng a westbound on-ramp and east | bound off-ramp to |
| Need: | | | |
| The I-82 South Union Gap interchan | ge is currently a pa | rtial interchange with only a westb | ound off-ramp and |
| an eastbound on-ramp. | | | |
| Project Location: | | | |
| SR: 82 Begin MP: | | 38.5 WSDOT Region: South Centra County/Counties: Yakima | al |
| Township/Section/Range: S8,17;T12N;R1 | SE | Godiny/Godinies. Yakima | |
| Right of Way Check all that apply Will ROW be acquired for this project? (|)Yes ⊚ No If 'r | o' akin indented questions | |
| | nesses be relocated and | o' skip indented questions. /or displaced? O Yes O No | |
| | O Yes O No | o to other | |
| Statewide Transportation Improvemen | t Program (STIP) Co | nfirmation | |
| Are All phases of the project included in the | | | |
| If 'yes' list STIP/STIP addendum date | : 2017 | | |
| If 'no' attach plan for inclusion | | | |



ECS Standard Report

WIN: E08209U I-82/South Union Gap Interchange - Construct Ramps Project Title: I-82/South Union Gap Interchange - Construct Ramps

PART 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CLASSIFICATION

The information provided is based on review of GIS data in the WSDOT Environmental Workbench and field reviews by qualified WSDOT staff.

NEPA Classification: FHWA Categorical Exclusion (CE) 23 CFR **SEPA Classification:** Determination of Non-significance (DNS)

771.117

Subsection: C22: Project within the existing operational ROW

| Endangered Species Act USFWS Consultation Type: | (ESA) Programmatic | Completion date: 8/23/2017 | |
|--|---|--|------------|
| NOAA Consultation Type: | Programmatic | Completion date: 8/23/2017 | |
| National Historic Preserva Has a Cultural Resources Spe | ation Act: Section 106 – Check all | that apply. Yes ONo If 'yes', provide date: 10/31/20 | 17 |
| Is the project on tribal lands? | O Yes No If 'yes', list tribe: | Tes Ono Tryes, provide date. 1976 1726 | •• |
| , , | e 2012 Programmatic Agreement with F | HWA & SHPO? O Yes No | |
| Is the project located on Fores | ot Service land? Under the 2012 Programmatic Agreeme | No ent with USFS? O Yes O No | 40/04/0047 |
| Was a Cultural Resources Sur | rvey completed for this project? | ● Yes O No | 10/31/2017 |
| | | If 'yes', provide completion dat | e: |
| If 'yes', ☐ Historic ☐ Cu | _ • | | |
| | under either Programmatic Agreement. | · | 18 |
| Consultation and concurrence Date of approved Section 106 | • | d/or is required See attached. | |
| Date of approved Section 106 |) IVIUA. | оее апаспес. | |
| | | | |

ECS Standard Report

WIN: E08209U I-82/South Union Gap Interchange - Construct Ramps Project Title: I-82/South Union Gap Interchange - Construct Ramps

| PART 3 - PERMITS & APPROVALS | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Federal: Check all that apply | State: Check all that apply | | | |
| ✓ US Army Corps of Engineers ☐ Section 404 ☐ Section 10 ✓ Nationwide ☐ Individual | ☐ Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) ☐ General Hydraulic Project Type: | | | |
| □ US Coast Guard □ General Bridge Act Coordination is required to complete NEPA. Contact Date: □ Private Aids to Navigation (non-bridge projects) □ Section 4(f) □ De minimis approval □ Temporary Occupancy approval □ Section 4(f) Evaluation See attached. □ Section 6(f) Compliance (RCO/NPS) See attached □ Wild and Scenic Rivers Review Coordination with administrator required. | ☑ Section 401 Water Quality Certification Certifying Entity: Ecology ☐ Aquatic Use Authorization (WDNR) ☐ Coastal Zone Management Certification (CZM) County: ☐ Forest Practice Approval Issuing Agency: ☑ NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit ⑥ General ☑ Individual ☑ Temporary Erosion Sediment Control Plan (TESC) | | | |
| ☐ Authorization for Use of Federal Land | GEO 05-05 See attached | | | |
| Issuing Agency: ☐ Farmland Conversion see attached NCRS documentation | Tribal Name of tribe: List of permits and approvals: See Notes Section Below | | | |
| Local: Check all that apply | Other Plans/Approvals: Check all that apply Migratory Bird Treaty Act See attached. Bald Eagle Protection Act See attached. Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) See attached. Marine Mammal Protection Act See attached. Other See Notes. | | | |
| Issuing Agency: Yakima County Shoreline Management Program Issuing Agency: Yakima County Permit Type: | | | | |

Notes

- * Potential Required CAO Permits: Wetlands, Frequently Flooded Areas, Geologically Hazardous Areas, Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas
- * If night work is required, the Design/Builder selected to construct the project will be responsible for acquiring any required noise variances
- * A UDP has been prepared for the project

O Yes

No

b. Will groundwater be encountered in an area of known contamination?

ECS Standard Report

WIN: E08209U I-82/South Union Gap Interchange - Construct Ramps Project Title: I-82/South Union Gap Interchange - Construct Ramps

PART 4 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT -- CHECK ALL THAT APPLY. The information provided is based on review of GIS data in the WSDOT Environmental Workbench and field reviews by qualified WSDOT staff. Air Quality O Yes No 1. Is the project exempt from Air Quality conformity requirements per WAC 173-420-110? If 'yes' list exemption: and skip questions 2 & 3. Project construction will comply with federal, state and local requirements. If 'no' continue. Yes O No If 'yes', see attached. Check all that apply: 2. Will an air quality study be required? The project is located in a Maintenance Area for: CO PM10 □ PM2.5 The project is located a Non-attainment Area for: D CO PM10 PM2.5 Yes O No 3. Is the project listed in the MTP TIP? If 'yes', give MTP Adoption date: 10/17/2016 Wetlands/Critical Areas/Resource Lands 1. Will wetlands be impacted by the project? Yes O No If 'no' skip to question 2 Is a site review is required by a wetland specialist? Yes O No If 'yes' provide completion date 10/3/2013 Will a wetland delineation and discipline report be required? ● Yes O No If 'yes' provide completion date: 4/11/2018 Estimated temporary wetland impacts (acres) 0 Estimated permanent wetland impacts (acres): 0.14 Estimated permanent wetland buffer impacts (acres) Will mitigation be required? Yes No If 'yes,' see attached 2. Will the project affect fish, wildlife or habitat? Yes **O** No 'yes' list or attach documentation: O Yes O No If 'no' skip to question 4. 3. Is the project located in a Sole Source Aquifer? ☐ The project is exempt from EPA approval. ☐ The project received EPA approval on 4. Is the project located in a critical aquifer recharge area? O Yes No If 'no' skip to question 5. Check only those that apply. The project is ____exempt ___ requires approval from the county: 5. Will the project impact a geologically hazardous area? O Yes No If 'yes', see attached. 6. Will the project require work in water or below the estimated OHWM? O Yes No If 'no' skip to question 7 If work in water will be required, list waterbodies Will the project require work in Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs)? O Yes O No If 'yes', attach map showing RPW connectivity. 7. Is the project located in a 100-year floodway? O Yes No Is the project located in a 100-year floodplain? Yes O No 8. Will agricultural and be converted to transportation use? O Yes No If 'no' skip to question 9. If 'yes' attach NRCS report and provide agricultural land classification: 9. Will other resource lands (i.e. Forest lands, mineral resource lands) be impacted? O Yes No If 'yes', see attached. **Hazardous Materials** 1. Does the project require excavation below the existing ground surface? Yes No If 'no' skip to question 2. If 'yes' answer questions 1a & 1b, then go to question 2. a. Is the project located within ½ mile radius of any Ecology listed sites that have the potential of impacting the project during construction?

O Yes

No



Lower Yakima River (TSS; DDT)

ECS Standard Report

| 2. Will any properties be acquired as part of this project? O Yes ● No If 'no' skip to question 3. If "yes" answer 2a and 2b, then go to question 3: |
|---|
| a. Are any of the properties listed on Ecology's databases? O Yes O No |
| b. Are any of the properties not listed on Ecology's databases a high risk due to historic land use (i.e. gasoline station, auto-body shop, or dry cleaner)? O Yes O No |
| 3. Based on the information above and the project specific activities, is there a potential for the project to acquire any known or potentially |
| contaminated properties, or encounter contaminated soils, groundwater or surface water? |
| If 'no' check box A. If 'yes' check box B and complete a Hazardous Materials Analysis Report. |
| A. Based on the proposed project description and construction activities, WSDOT is unlikely to assume liability for cleanup of contaminated soil or groundwater as part of this project, and it is concluded that no significant, unavoidable adverse impacts that cannot be mitigated for are expected for the following reasons: 1) No known or suspected contaminated properties are being acquired; 2) Soil disturbance is anticipated to be less than 1 foot below ground surface with no known or suspected contamination; 3) Contaminated groundwater will not be encountered as part of this project. No further investigation is warranted at this time. |
| ☑ B. A "right sized" Hazardous Materials Analysis Report is required. Attach a copy to this form. (If you have questions or concerns, please contact a Hazardous Materials Specialist). |
| Noise |
| 1. Is this project a Type 1 noise project? Yes O No |
| If 'yes', are sensitive receptors located adjacent to or within the project? Yes O No If yes' See attached. |
| 2. Do previous noise mitigation commitments exist within or adjacent to the project limits? O Yes O No If 'yes' See attached. |
| 3. Is a noise study required? Yes O No If 'yes' See attached. |
| Scenic Byways and State Scenic and Recreational Highways |
| |
| 1. Is the project located on a Scenic Byway? O Yes O No If 'no', skip to question 2. If 'yes' provide name: |
| |
| 2. Is the project located on a State Scenic and Recreational Highway? O Yes No |
| If 'yes' provide name: and scenic classification of |
| (per Utilities Accommodation Policy Manual M 22-86) See attached. |
| Title VI / Environmental Justice (EJ) |
| 1. Will the project require detailed EJ analysis? |
| 6. Construction of turning lanes, pockets, auxiliary lanes (e.g. truck climbing, acceleration and deceleration lanes), sidewalks and shoulder widening within the existing right of way limits. |
| |
| Water Quality/Stormwater |
| 1. Will the project increase runoff? Yes O No |
| 2. Will the project affect water quality? Yes O No If 'yes', treatment for new or existing impervious surfaces will be consistent with the guidance and requirements in the WSDOT Highway Runoff Manual, unless the project stormwater runoff is treated by a local jurisdiction with a more stringent Stormwater Management Manual. |
| 3. Does a TMDL waterbody have the potential to receive a dishcharge? • Yes • No If 'yes' list the waterbodies and pollutants of concern: |

Washington State Department of Transportation

182_SUG_wetlands_report.pdf

ECS Standard Report

| 4. Does A 303d waterbody have the potential to receive a discharge? If 'yes' list the waterbodies and the pollutants of concern: Wide Hollow Creek (DO; pH; 4,4-DDE; 4,4-DDD; DDT; Temperature; Bacteria) |
|--|
| wide Hollow Greek (BO, pH, 4,4 BBE, 4,4 BBB), BBH, Temperature, Bacterial |
| Visual Quality/Roadside Policy Manual/Aesthetics |
| 1. Will the project disturb the roadside? (e.g. Cuts, fills, new lighting, clearing & grading, realignment, structures) If 'yes', review by a Landscape Architect is required. See attached. |
| 2. Will the project disturb Resource Conservation Areas? (see Roadside Policy Manual M3110) If 'yes', review by a Landscape Architect is required. See attached. |
| Long-Term Environmental Commitments |
| Were previous long-term environmental commitments (environmental commitments that extend beyond the end of the construction phase such as wetland monitoring, preservation of landscape buffers, etc.) made within the project limits? Yes No If 'yes', see attached. Will the project create long-term environmental commitments (environmental commitments that extend beyond the end of the construction |
| phase such as wetland monitoring, preservation of landscape buffers, etc.)? O Yes No |
| If 'yes', see attached. |
| Summary 1. Briefly describe environmental issues likely to affect design and mitigation measures for this project. *The Beginning MP has been changed from 37.50 to 37.0 in order to accommodate a conduit trench that will provide power to a new Variable Message Sign that will be installed. The additional 1/2 mile (MP 37-MP 37.50) was analyzed in the supporting environmental documentation. *A WSDOT Hazardous Materials Specialist wrote an Asbestos Survey Report for the project. Based on an as-built review of plan sheets for Bridge 97/145E, approximately 15 linear feet of transite pipe is presumed to contain asbestos; however, the pipe will not be disturbed during construction. |
| |
| List of Attachments |
| I82_S_Union_Gap_IC_SHPO_concurrence.pdf |
| I82_S_Union_Gap_Nez_Perce_consultation.pdf |
| I82_S_Union_Gap_project_PBA_NMFS_I.pdf |
| I82_S_Union_Gap_project_PBA_USFWS_I.pdf |
| I82_S_Union_Gap_Yakama_Nation_consultation.pdf |
| I82_SUG_AQ_Memo.pdf |
| I82_SUG_Asbestos_Survey.pdf |
| I82_SUG_Cultural_Report_SHPO_tribes.pdf |
| I82_SUG_HazMat_Analysis.pdf |
| I82_SUG_Noise_Report.pdf |
| l82_SUG_UDP.pdf |
| I82_SUG_Visual_Report.pdf |



